



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_33089
Title of the Manuscript:	A Rural – Urban Comparison Of The Prevalence And Pattern Of Elder Abuse In Imo State, South Eastern Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No.3: Consider modification to the title Suggested topic: Comparing the prevalence and Patterns of Elder's Abuse in Imo state, Nigeria: A Rural-Urban Comparative study. No. 9: A cross-sectional has been inserted to the text No 12: The level has been inserted 13: a hyphen has been inserted to the p-value No 13: Author should include in text how the participants were recruited (probabilistic approach or randomized)? Author should be consistent in the use of either the American spelling or British spelling systems 29, 68, 78, 80, 101, 126, 143, 173 have been modified grammatically. 102: As a suggestion, author should include the mapping of both LGAs that were surveyed No. 123: From the sample size calculations, the author arrived at 203; but sudden recruited about 685 participants. This is an aberration, author ought to have searched for a higher prevalence	Title modified as suggested Corrections made to line 9, 12 and 13 Done Noted and corrected Geographical coordinates of the surveyed LGAs included. The 203 calculated was for each LGA giving 406 for both LGAs. Giving 10% attrition, the minimum sample size for this study was 448 respondents A 3 stage simple random sampling method was used to select participants and as such every eligible participant was given an equal opportunity of being selected. A total of 730 questionnaires was given out and 685 was duly completed and returned. No 201



SDI Review Form 1.6

	rather than increasing the total sample size by more than 50% of the initial size that was calculated) No. 130: Random sampling (a situation where authors decide who to choose for the study rather than throwing the opportunity open to all to decide) is no longer obtainable due to the many limitations associated with this approach. Rather, author should have used the probabilistic sampling method in which all participants are giving the free will to decide whether they wish to fall into the study or not. No. 160: (Author should Include information on the total number of questionnaire given out and the number retrieved or successfully administered). No 367. Include information on the patterns of elder's abuse. (Author's conclusion centers only on prevalence, thereby, neglecting the patterns as revealed in your title and aims and objective).	Noted and included in the conclusion section. (No 6).
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Incorporated in the general comments above	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		